

Some remarks about Cauchy integrals and totally real surfaces in $\mathbf{C}^m$

Stephen Semmes

Let us begin by reviewing some geometrically-oriented linear algebra, about which Reese Harvey once tutored me. Fix a positive integer m . The standard Hermitian inner product on $\mathbf{C}^m$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad \langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \overline{w_j},$$

where v, w are elements of $\mathbf{C}^m$ and v_j, w_j denote their j th components, $1 \leq j \leq m$. This expression is complex-linear in v , conjugate-complex-linear in w , and satisfies

$$(2) \quad \langle w, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, w \rangle}.$$

Of course $\langle v, v \rangle$ is the same as $|v|^2$, the square of the standard Euclidean length of v .

Define (v, w) to be the real part of $\langle v, w \rangle$. This is a real inner product on $\mathbf{C}^m$, which is real linear in both v and w , symmetric in v and w , and such that (v, v) is also equal to $|v|^2$. This is the same as the standard real inner product on $\mathbf{C}^m \approx \mathbf{R}^{2m}$.

Now define $[v, w]$ to be the imaginary part of $\langle v, w \rangle$. This is a real linear function in each of v and w , and it is antisymmetric, in the sense that

$$(3) \quad [w, v] = -[v, w].$$

Also, $[v, w]$ is nondegenerate, which means that for each nonzero v in $\mathbf{C}^m$ there is a w in $\mathbf{C}^m$ such that $[v, w] \neq 0$. Indeed, one can take $w = i v$.

Let L be an m -dimensional real-linear subspace of $\mathbf{C}^m$. We say that L is totally-real if L is transverse to $i L$, where $i L = \{i v : v \in L\}$. Transversality here can be phrased either in terms of $L \cap i L = \{0\}$, or in terms of $L + i L = \mathbf{C}^m$.

An extreme version of this occurs when iL is the orthogonal complement of L . Because we are assuming that L has real dimension m , this is the same as saying that elements of iL are orthogonal to elements of L . This is equivalent to saying that $[v, w] = 0$ for all v, w in L . Such a real m -dimensional plane is said to be Lagrangian.

As a basic example, $\mathbf{R}^m$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbf{C}^m$. In fact, the Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^m$ can be characterized as images of $\mathbf{R}^m$ under unitary linear transformations on $\mathbf{C}^m$. The images of $\mathbf{R}^m$ under special unitary linear transformations, which is to say unitary transformations with complex determinant equal to 1, are called special Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^m$.

Now suppose that M is some kind of submanifold or surface in $\mathbf{C}^m$ with real dimension m . We assume at least that M is a closed subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$ which is equipped with a nonnegative Borel measure μ , in such a way that M is equal to the support of μ , and the μ -measure of bounded sets are finite. One might also ask that μ behave well in the sense of a doubling condition on M , or even Ahlfors-regularity of dimension m . One may wish to assume that M is reasonably smooth, and anyway we would ask that M is at least rectifiable, so that μ can be written as the restriction of m -dimensional Hausdorff measure to M times a density function, and M has m -dimensional approximate tangent spaces at almost all points.

Let us focus on the case where M is totally real, so that its approximate tangent planes are totally real, at least almost everywhere. In fact one can consider quantitative versions of this. Namely, if

$$(4) \quad d\nu_m = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_m$$

is the standard complex volume form on $\mathbf{C}^m$, then a linear subspace L of $\mathbf{C}^m$ of real dimension m is totally real if and only if the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to L is nonzero. In any event, the absolute value of the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to L is equal to a nonnegative real number times the standard positive element of m -dimensional volume on L , and positive lower bounds on that real number correspond to quantitative measurements of the extent to which L is totally real. In the extreme case when L is Lagrangian, this real number is equal to 1. For the surface M , one can consider lower bounds on this real coefficient at each point, or at least almost everywhere.

From now on let us assume that M is oriented, so that the approximate tangent planes to M are oriented. This means that reasonably-nice complex-valued functions on M can be integrated against the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to

M . One can then define pseudo-accretivity and para-accretivity conditions for the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to M as in [13], which basically mean that classes of averages of the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to M have nice lower bounds for their absolute values compared to the corresponding averages of the absolute value of the restriction to $d\nu_m$ to m . This takes into account the oscillations of the restriction of $d\nu_m$ to M .

Note that if M is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbf{C}^m$ of real dimension m , then M is said to be Lagrangian if its tangent spaces are Lagrangian m -planes at each point. This turns out to be equivalent to saying that M can be represented locally at each point as the graph of the gradient of a real-valued smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^m$ in an appropriate sense, as in [34]. If the tangent planes of M are special Lagrangian, then M is said to be a special Lagrangian submanifold. See [18, 19] in connection with these.

It seems to me that there is a fair amount of room here for various interesting things to come up, basically concerning the geometry of M and aspects of several complex variables on $\mathbf{C}^m$ around M . When $m = 1$, this would include the Cauchy integral operator applied to functions on a curve and holomorphic functions on the complement of the curve. In general this can include questions about functional calculi, as in [8, 9, 13], and $\bar{\partial}$ problems with data of type $(0, m)$, as well as relations between the two.

References

- [1] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, M. Lacey, J. Lewis, A. McIntosh, and P. Tchamitchian, *The solution of Kato's conjectures*, Comptes Rendus des Scéances de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris Sér. I **322** (2001), 601–606.
- [2] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, M. Lacey, A. McIntosh, and P. Tchamitchian, *The solution of the Kato square root problem for second order elliptic operators on $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Annals of Mathematics (2) **156** (2002), 633–654.
- [3] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, J. Lewis, and P. Tchamitchian, *Extrapolation of Carleson measures and the analyticity of Kato's square-root operators*, Acta Mathematica **187** (2001), 161–190.
- [4] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, A. McIntosh, and P. Tchamitchian, *The Kato square root problem for higher order elliptic operators and systems on*

- $\mathbf{R}^n$, *Dedicated to the memory of Tosio Kato*, Journal of Evolution Equations **1** (2001), 361–385.
- [5] P. Auscher and P. Tchamitchian, *Square Root Problem for Divergence Operators and Related Topics*, Astérisque **249**, 1998.
 - [6] S. Bell, *The Cauchy Transform, Potential Theory, and Conformal Mapping*, CRC Press, 1992.
 - [7] R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, and Y. Meyer, *L'Intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes lipschitziennes*, Annals of Mathematics (2) **116** (1982), 361–387.
 - [8] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Fourier analysis of multilinear convolutions, Calderón's theorem, and analysis on Lipschitz curves*, in *Euclidean Harmonic Analysis*, Proceedings of Seminars held at the University of Maryland, 1979, 104–122, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **779**, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
 - [9] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Non-linear harmonic analysis, operator theory, and PDE*, in *Beijing Lectures in Harmonic Analysis*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **112**, 3–45, Princeton University Press, 1986.
 - [10] R. Coifman and S. Semmes, *Real-analytic operator-valued functions defined in BMO*, in *Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*, 85–100, Marcel Dekker, 1990.
 - [11] R. Coifman and S. Semmes, *L^2 estimates in nonlinear Fourier analysis*, in *Harmonic Analysis (Sendai, 1990)*, Proceedings of the ICM-90 Satellite Conference, 79–95, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
 - [12] M. Cowling, I. Doust, A. McIntosh, and A. Yagi, *Banach space operators with a bounded H^∞ functional calculus*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society Ser. A **60** (1996), 51–89.
 - [13] G. David, J.-L. Journé, and S. Semmes, *Opérateurs de Calderón–Zygmund, fonctions para-accrétives et interpolation*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana **1** (4) (1985), 1–56.
 - [14] P. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.

- [15] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, 1981.
- [16] A. Gleason, *The abstract theorem of Cauchy–Weil*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **12** (1962), 511–525.
- [17] A. Gleason, *The Cauchy–Weil theorem*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics **12** (1963), 429–444.
- [18] R. Harvey, *Spinors and Calibrations*, Academic Press, 1990.
- [19] R. Harvey and B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Mathematica **148** (1982), 47–157.
- [20] G. Henkin and J. Leiterer, *Andreotti–Grauert Theory by Integral Formulas*, Akademie-Verlag, 1988.
- [21] L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland, 1973.
- [22] B. Jefferies, A. McIntosh, and J. Picton-Warlow, *The monogenic functional calculus*, Studia Mathematica **136** (1999), 99–119.
- [23] J.-L. Journé, *Calderón–Zygmund Operators, Pseudo-Differential Operators, and the Cauchy Integral of Calderón*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **994**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [24] C. Kenig and Y. Meyer, *Kato’s square roots of accretive operators and Cauchy kernels on Lipschitz curves are the same*, in *Recent Progress in Fourier Analysis (El Escorial, 1983)*, 123–143, North-Holland, 1985.
- [25] S. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, second edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2001.
- [26] A. McIntosh, *Operators which have an H^∞ functional calculus*, in *Miniconference on Operator Theory and Partial Differential Equations (North Ryde, 1986)*, 210–231, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis **14**, Australian National University, 1986.
- [27] A. McIntosh and Y. Meyer, *Algèbres d’opérateurs définis par des intégrales singulières*, Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences de Paris Sér. I Math. **301** (1985), 395–397.

- [28] A. McIntosh and A. Pryde, *A functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal **36** (1987), 421–439.
- [29] A. McIntosh and A. Yagi, *Operators of type ω without a bounded H^∞ functional calculus*, in *Miniconference on Operators in Analysis (Sydney, 1989)*, 159–172, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis **24**, Australian National University, 1990.
- [30] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces: Fractals and Rectifiability*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [31] S. Semmes, *A criterion for the boundedness of singular integrals on hypersurfaces*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **311** (1989), 501–513.
- [32] S. Semmes, *Chord-arc surfaces with small constant I* , Advances in Mathematics **85** (1991), 198–223.
- [33] J. Verdera, *L^2 boundedness of the Cauchy integral and Menger curvature*, in *Harmonic Analysis and Boundary Value Problems (Fayetteville AR, 2000)*, 139–158, Contemporary Mathematics **277**, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [34] A. Weinstein, *Lectures on Symplectic Manifolds*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **29**, American Mathematical Society, 1977.